





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERGE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|--|---------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| 10/084,301 | 02/28/2002 | Kazuhisa Tsunematsu | H0204T | 6782 |
| 75 | 90 03/18/2003 | | | • |
| KANESAKA & TAKEUCHI 1423 Powhatan Street | | | EXAMINER | |
| Alexandria, VA | | | PAUMEN, GARY F | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2833 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 03/18/2003 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 10/084,301

Applicante

Kazuhisa

Examiner

Gary Paumen

Art Unit **2833**



| | | 1 3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|
| | The MAILING DATE of this communication appears o | n the cover sheet with the correspondence address | | |
| Period 1 | for Reply | TO EVRIPE 2 MONTH(S) FROM | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. | | | | |
| - Extens | ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In ne | o event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the | | |
| - If the r | date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the | statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. | | |
| - If NO r | period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply an to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the | d will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. | | |
| - Any re | ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of th | is communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any | | |
| Status | patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | |
| 1) 🗆 | Responsive to communication(s) filed on | · | | |
| 2a) 🗌 | This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action | on is non-final. | | |
| 3) 🗆 | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. | | | |
| Disposi | tion of Claims | | | |
| 4) 💢 | Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> | is/are pending in the application. | | |
| 4 | | is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | |
| 5) 🗆 | Claim(s) | is/are allowed. | | |
| 6) 💢 | Claim(s) 1 | · | | |
| 7) 🗆 | Claim(s) | 1 | | |
| 8) 🗆 | Claims | are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | |
| Applica | ation Papers | | | |
| 9) 🗆 | The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | |
| 10) | The drawing(s) filed onis/are | a) \square accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner. | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the dr | | | |
| 11) | The proposed drawing correction filed on | is: a) \square approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner. | | |
| ,_ | If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t | | | |
| 12) | The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin | | | |
| Priority | under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | |
| | Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pr | iority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | |
| a) [| ズ All b)□ Some* c)□ None of: | | | |
| | 1. X Certified copies of the priority documents have | e been received. | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have | e been received in Application No | | |
| | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do application from the International Burea | ocuments have been received in this National Stage au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | |
| * 5 | See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the | | | |
| 14) | Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic | priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). | | |
| a) [| \square The translation of the foreign language provisiona | l application has been received. | | |
| 15) | Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic | priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. | | |
| Attachn | nent(s) | | | |
| 7.4 | lotice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). | | |
| | lotice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | |
| 3) 💢 lı | nformation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 2 | 6) Other: | | |

Office Action Summary

Application/Control Number: 10/084,301 Page 2

Art Unit: 2833

1. Claims 5-9 stand withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in Paper No. 7. In addition, claims 2-4 recite limitations not supported by the disclosure directed to the elected species and thus are also withdrawn from further consideration.

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in-
- (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or
- (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).
- 3. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Hashiguchi et al '346.
- 4. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: on lines 19 and 22, "or said housing" should be deleted since there is no support in the specification. Appropriate correction is required.
- 5. The other references cited on Form 892 disclose similar flat cable connectors with pivoting pressure members.

Art Unit: 2833

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gary Paumen whose telephone number is (703) 308-1414.

Gary Paumen
Primary Examiner

gfp

March 13, 2003